



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/955,404 | 09/17/2001 | Jiang Peng | 42390P11100 | 1200 |
| 8791 7590 02/20/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SHAPIRO, LEONID | |
| SEVENTH FL | OOR ES, CA 90025-1030 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| 20571110221 | 20, 0.1. > 0.20 | | 2629 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| No. 45 CALL | 09/955,404 | PENG, JIANG | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Leonid Shapiro | 2629 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | | iress | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | · | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the content of the period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not period on | f Mailing or Transmission dat of month(s)) which ex | red), which is after the e pired on | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | led Notice of Appeal (with ap | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se | | | , to the non- | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | 85). vas received on (with | a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar | nce of \$ is due | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | · | ired by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | • | , , , , , , , | <u> </u> | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the thre | ee-month period set in, the Noti | ice of | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mail | ng or Transmission dated |), which is | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of reco | rd, the assignee of the entire in | terest, or all of | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting | n a representative capacity und | der 37 CFR | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision control of t | | nd because the period for seek | ing court review | | |
| 7. 🗵 The reason(s) below: | | | / · | | |
|)n 01/17/07 Attorney Mark C. Van Ness confirmed | d abandonment. | | | | |
| | | RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER | XAMINER 2600 | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | e of Abandonment | Part of Pape | er No. 20070117 | | |